arXiv:hep-th/9612045v3 21 Aug 2006

Spacetime and Matter

R. A. McCorkle
Physics Department, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI 02881, USA.
tel.:(401)874-2044, fax:(401)874-2380, email:rmccor@uri.edu

Abstract Fundamental electroweak properties arise from a spacetime theory of matter, permitting evaluation of low energy coupling constants from first principles. The fine-structure constant is $\left(\pi s^4\right)^{2/3}\cos^2\theta_w/36$ with $s = 1/2$ (Fermion spin) and θ_w Weinberg angle, giving $\sin^2\theta_w = 0.2223552634(26)$, low energy weak couplings $g' = \pi^{5/6}s^{4/3}/3 = 0.343397380776359...$ (exact) and $g = 0.6421905192(64)$. Consequently, in the on-shell scheme, $M_w = 80.4130(19)GeV$ based on $M_z = 91.1876(21)GeV$.

PACS: 12.15.-y, 12.10.-g, 12.10.Gq
Keywords: fine-structure constant, electroweak coupling

I. SPACETIME BASIS

The theory of general relativity [1] considers spacetime to be a continuum and there is no experimental evidence to the contrary. Our extensive experience with energy and momentum conservation lead to the conclusion that spacetime is homogeneous and isotropic. For a theory constructed out of spacetime, there is no loss of generality in restricting consideration of the energy momentum tensor to the perfect fluid form since it is the most general form $T_{\mu\nu}$ can then take [2]. Two scalars, pressure p and energy density ε, then characterize this tensor:

$$T^\mu_\nu = (\varepsilon + p)u^\mu u_\nu + p\delta^\mu_\nu, \tag{1}$$

where $u^\mu = dx^\mu/d\tau$ with $u^\mu u_\mu = -1$, proper time being related to the fundamental arclength by $ds^2 = -d\tau^2$. Greek indices range from 0 to 3, the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ having signature $(-+++)$ with $G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu}$ being the field equations, and rationalized natural units, $\hbar = c = 1$ and $e^2/4\pi = 1/137.03599911(46)$ [3], are used. Based on both the invariance of action and a direct derivation by perturbational analysis, the requisite equation of state may be argued to be [4]

$$p = -\varepsilon/3. \tag{2}$$

Then the energy momentum tensor is given in terms of a single timelike vector,

$$T^\mu_\nu = f^\mu f_\nu - f^2\delta^\mu_\nu/2 \tag{3}$$

where $f^2 = \varepsilon + p$ and $f^\mu = f u^\mu$.

The group structure of spacetime, due to the timelike congruence associated with u^μ, is described through the contracted Bianchi identities $T^\mu_{\nu;\mu} = 0$:

$$f^\mu_{;\mu} f_\nu + f^\mu f_{\nu;\mu} - f f_{,\nu} = 0. \tag{4}$$

Since $f^\mu_{;\nu} = f_{,\nu}u^\mu + f u^\mu_{;\nu}$, the irreducible decomposition of the gradient of the four-velocity,

$$u_{\mu;\nu} = \frac{\Theta}{3}h_{\mu\nu} - u_\nu a_\mu + \sigma_{\mu\nu} + \varpi_{\mu\nu}, \tag{5}$$

into a volume expansion $\Theta = u^\sigma_{;\sigma}$, an acceleration $a_\nu = \dot{u}_\nu = u_{\nu;\sigma}u^\sigma$, a symmetric trace-free shear, $\sigma_{\mu\nu} = u_{(\mu;\nu)} + \dot{u}_{(\mu}u_{\nu)} - \Theta h_{\mu\nu}/3$, and a skew-symmetric vorticity, $\varpi_{\mu\nu} = u_{[\mu;\nu]} + \dot{u}_{[\mu}u_{\nu]}$, (with the orthogonality properties $0 = h_{\mu\nu}u^\nu = a_\nu u^\nu = \sigma_{\mu\nu}u^\nu = \varpi_{\mu\nu}u^\nu$ where $h_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + u_\mu u_\nu$ is the projection tensor onto the spacelike hypersurface orthogonal to u^ν) allows a group-theoretic irreducible expression of the fluid dynamics:

$$f_{\mu;\nu} = \xi k_{\mu\nu} + f_\mu a_\nu - f_\nu a_\mu + \zeta_{\mu\nu} \tag{6}$$

for which $\xi = f^\sigma_{;\sigma}/2 = -f^\nu f_{,\nu}/f = f\Theta/3$, $k_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + 2f_\mu f_\nu/f^2$, and $\zeta_{\mu\nu} = f(\sigma_{\mu\nu} + \varpi_{\mu\nu})$ with $\zeta_{(\mu\nu)} = f\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ and $\zeta_{[\mu\nu]} = f\varpi_{\mu\nu}$. Round brackets indicate symmetrized indices; square brackets, skew-symmetrized indices. The acceleration may be expressed as

$$a_\nu = f_{,\nu}/f - \xi f_\nu/f^2. \tag{7}$$

The excitation modes $\xi k_{\mu\nu}$ (expansion), $f_\mu a_\nu - f_\nu a_\mu$ (Fermi-Walker), and $\zeta_{\mu\nu}$ (shear and rotation) are mutually orthogonal: $k^{\mu\nu}(f_\mu a_\nu - f_\nu a_\mu) = k^{\mu\nu}\zeta_{\mu\nu} = \zeta^{\mu\nu}(f_\mu a_\nu - f_\nu a_\mu) = 0$. Also, $f^\nu a_\nu = f^\nu \zeta_{\mu\nu} = f^\nu \zeta_{\nu\mu} = \zeta^{\nu}_{.\nu} = 0$. Thus, the expansion, Fermi-Walker and shear/rotation excitation modes involving ξ and the linearly independent components of a_ν and $\zeta_{\mu\nu}$ are one, three, and eight parameter functions respectively. The shear/rotation excitation is composed of the antisymmetric rotation motions $\zeta_{[\mu\nu]} = f\varpi_{\mu\nu}$ consisting of three independent components and the symmetric shear $\zeta_{(\mu\nu)} = f\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ with five independent components. Solution of the first order equations for each mode results in an integration parameter (y^ν) which will be referred to as the excitation core.

Excitations of combined expansion and Fermi-Walker modes (hereafter referred to as EW excitations) are of interest in that they will be seen to provide Fermions and their electroweak interactions. Development of a generic model for this combination, based on the orthonormal tetrad $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r})$ incorporating the velocity $\mathbf{u}$, the unit vector associated with the acceleration, $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{a}/a$, and two spacelike vectors $\mathbf{q}$ and $\mathbf{r}$ is given in the Appendix.

II. A REPRESENTATION TRANSFORMATION

A representation change that is Lorentz invariant extended by parity [5] in the local Lorentz frame is now made. In the local Lorentzian (indices for which are taken from the early part of the Greek alphabet and enclosed in parenthesis) with metric $\eta_{(\alpha)(\beta)} = diag(-1, 1, 1, 1)$, the Dirac matrices are utilized in Weyl representation and are designated by $\gamma_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_\alpha \\ \bar{\sigma}_\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}$with $\sigma \equiv(\sigma_\alpha) = (-I, \{\sigma_i\})$ and $\bar{\sigma} \equiv (\bar{\sigma}_\alpha) = (-I, -\{\sigma_i\})$ where Latin indices from the middle of the alphabet range from 1 to 3 and $\{\sigma_i\}$ are the Pauli matrices. With $\chi_b = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{b1} \\ \chi_{b2} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\phi_b = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{b1} \\ \phi_{b2} \end{pmatrix}$ being two-component matrices and $\psi_b = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_b \\ \phi_b \end{pmatrix}$ with b being either 1 or 2, it follows that $\gamma^5 = \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\delta\lambda}\gamma_\alpha\gamma_\beta\gamma_\delta\gamma_\lambda/4! = \begin{pmatrix} -I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$, $\psi_{bL} = P_L\psi_b = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_b \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\psi_{bR} = P_R\psi_b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \phi_b \end{pmatrix}$with $P_L = (1-\gamma^5)/2$, $P_R = (1+\gamma^5)/2$. $\bar{\psi}_b = \psi_b^\dagger\gamma^0$ where $\psi_b^\dagger$ is the conjugate transpose of ψ_b. With $e^\mu_{(\alpha)}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv e^\mu_{(\alpha)}$ serving as tetrad ($g^{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}) = e^\mu_{(\alpha)} e^\nu_{(\beta)}\eta^{(\alpha)(\beta)}$), then the γ-matrices in general coordinates become $\gamma^\nu(\mathbf{x}) = e^\nu_{(\alpha)}\ \gamma^\alpha = \gamma^\nu$ and $\gamma \cdot u = \gamma^\nu u_\nu = \gamma^\alpha u_{(\alpha)}$, for example. In particular, the attendant properties $\gamma_\mu\gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu\gamma_\mu = -2I\delta^\nu_\mu$ and $\gamma^5\gamma^\nu = -\gamma^\nu\gamma^5$, $\gamma^5\gamma^5 = I$ follow.

Transformation to an irreducible representation satisfying the above stated requirements is then obtained by taking

$$(\gamma \cdot u - \gamma^5\gamma \cdot s)/4 = \psi_{1L}\bar{\psi}_{1L} + \psi_{1R}\bar{\psi}_{1R} \tag{8}$$

and

$$-(i\gamma \cdot q + \gamma^5\gamma \cdot r)/4 = \psi_{2L}\bar{\psi}_{2L+}\psi_{2R}\bar{\psi}_{2R}. \tag{9}$$

Right multiplication of both equations by γ^ν, taking the trace and utilizing the trace properties $Tr(\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu) = -4g^{\mu\nu}$ and $Tr(\gamma^5\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu) = 0$, gives

$$u^\nu = -\bar{\psi}_1\gamma^\nu\psi_1 \tag{10}$$

and

$$q^\nu = -i\bar{\psi}_2\gamma^\nu\psi_2, \tag{11}$$

respectively. Similarly, right multiplication of each by $\gamma^\nu\gamma^5$ and taking the trace gives

$$s^\nu = \bar{\psi}_1\gamma^\nu\gamma^5\psi_1 \tag{12}$$

and

$$r^\nu = \bar{\psi}_2\gamma^\nu\gamma^5\psi_2. \tag{13}$$

Then, $(\gamma \cdot u + \gamma^5\gamma \cdot s)(\gamma \cdot u - \gamma^5\gamma \cdot s) = 0$ (since $\gamma \cdot u\gamma \cdot u = -\gamma \cdot s\gamma \cdot s = I$ and $\gamma \cdot u\gamma \cdot s + \gamma \cdot s\gamma \cdot u = 0$) gives $(\gamma \cdot u + \gamma^5\gamma \cdot s)(\psi_{1L}\bar{\psi}_{1L} + \psi_{1R}\bar{\psi}_{1R}) = 0$. Right multiplication of this latter expression by ψ_{1L} and noting that $\bar{\psi}_{1L}\psi_{1L} = 0$ whereas $\bar{\psi}_{1L}\psi_{1R} \neq 0$ gives $(\gamma \cdot u + \gamma^5\gamma \cdot s)\psi_{1R} = 0$, and similarly, $(\gamma \cdot u + \gamma^5\gamma \cdot s)\psi_{1L} = 0$; that is

$$\gamma \cdot u\psi_1 = -\gamma^5\gamma \cdot s\psi_1. \tag{14}$$

Likewise, $\gamma \cdot q\psi_2 = -i\gamma^5\gamma \cdot r\psi_2$. Furthermore, since $P_L\gamma^5 = -P_L$, $P_R\gamma^5 = P_R$ and $\gamma^5\psi_{bL} = -\psi_{bL}$, $\gamma^5\psi_{bR} = \psi_{bR}$, it follows that $\gamma \cdot (u-s)\psi_{1L}/4 = \gamma \cdot u\psi_{1L}/2 = \psi_{1R}\bar{\psi}_{1R}\psi_{1L}$ and $\gamma \cdot (u+s)\psi_{1R}/4 = \gamma \cdot u\psi_{1R}/2 = \psi_{1L}\bar{\psi}_{1L}\psi_{1R}$. Thus $\gamma \cdot u\gamma \cdot u\psi_{1L}/2 = \psi_{1L}/2 = \gamma \cdot u\psi_{1R}\bar{\psi}_{1R}\psi_{1L} = 2\psi_{1L}\bar{\psi}_{1L}\psi_{1R}\bar{\psi}_{1R}\psi_{1L} = 2\psi_{1L}\left|\bar{\psi}_{1L}\psi_{1R}\right|^2$ since $\bar{\psi}_{1L}\psi_{1R} = (\bar{\psi}_{1R}\psi_{1L})^*$, giving $\left|\bar{\psi}_{1L}\psi_{1R}\right|^2 = 1/4$. With $\bar{\psi}_{1L}\psi_{1R} = 1/2$, it follows that $\gamma \cdot u\psi_{1L} = \psi_{1R}$ and $\gamma \cdot u\psi_{1R} = \psi_{1L}$, that is

$$\gamma \cdot u\psi_1 = \psi_1. \tag{15}$$

Again, in a similar manner, with $\bar{\psi}_{2L}\psi_{2R} = 1/2$, it follows that

$$\gamma \cdot q\psi_2 = i\psi_2 = -i\gamma^5\gamma \cdot r\psi_2. \tag{16}$$

Now, directly $P_R\gamma \cdot (q-ir)\gamma \cdot (u+s)/16 = i\psi_{2R}\bar{\psi}_{2R}\psi_{1L}\bar{\psi}_{1L}$. Taking the trace of this equation and using the trace properties of the γ-matrices and the orthogonality of the vectors (u,s,q,r) gives $\left|\bar{\psi}_{2R}\psi_{1L}\right|^2 = 0$. Also, $P_L\gamma \cdot (q+ir)\gamma \cdot (u-s)/16 = i\psi_{2L}\bar{\psi}_{2L}\psi_{1R}\bar{\psi}_{1R}$,and taking the trace then gives $\left|\bar{\psi}_{2L}\psi_{1R}\right|^2 = 0$.

Then, $\gamma \cdot (u+s)\psi_{2R}/4 = \psi_{1L}\bar{\psi}_{1L}\psi_{2R} = 0$, that is,

$$\gamma \cdot u\psi_{2R} = -\gamma \cdot s\psi_{2R}. \tag{17}$$

Also, $\gamma \cdot (u-s)\psi_{2L}/4 = \psi_{1R}\bar{\psi}_{1R}\psi_{2L} = 0$ giving

$$\gamma \cdot u\psi_{2L} = \gamma \cdot s\psi_{2L}. \tag{18}$$

However, $\gamma\cdot q\gamma\cdot u = -\gamma\cdot u\gamma\cdot q$ and $\gamma\cdot q\gamma\cdot s = -\gamma\cdot s\gamma\cdot q$ implies that $\gamma\cdot q\gamma\cdot u\psi_{2R} = -\gamma\cdot u\gamma\cdot q\psi_{2R} = -\gamma\cdot q\gamma\cdot s\psi_{2R} = \gamma\cdot s\gamma\cdot q\psi_{2R}$. But $\gamma \cdot q\psi_{2R} = i\psi_{2L}$ then gives

$$-\gamma \cdot u\psi_{2L} = \gamma \cdot s\psi_{2L}. \tag{19}$$

It then follows that $\gamma \cdot s\psi_{2L} = \gamma \cdot u\psi_{2L} = 0$ and similarly, $\gamma \cdot s\psi_{2R} = \gamma \cdot u\psi_{2R} = 0$,that is

$$\gamma \cdot u\psi_2 = \gamma \cdot s\psi_2 = 0. \tag{20}$$

In a like manner,

$$\gamma \cdot q\psi_1 = \gamma \cdot r\psi_1 = 0. \tag{21}$$

III. FUNDAMENTALS OF QUANTUM FIELD THEORY AND THE STANDARD MODEL

In both classical particle theory as well as in relativistic quantum field theory the momentum of a particle is so defined that it is not conserved. In classical theory, this effect shows up, for example, as runaway solutions when radiation effects are included [6]. To address this difficulty, a new complex was introduced by Teitelboim [7], [8] in order to separate the particle-field system into two dynamically independent subsystems: the particle plus attached field (the complex), and the radiation field. Momentum conservation for the complex is observed. This latter entity corresponds to defining particle momentum using both the energy momentum tensor and pseudotensor so as to properly treat radiative field effects [9]. In quantum field theory, radiative corrections are necessary and fundamental to the formulation is the fact that it is impossible to construct the theory with a fixed number of particles [10].

In accord with standard theory, for well-separated core excitation locations for which $f^2 \to \sum_i f_i^2$, the sum being over individual excitations (see Appendix), the momentum associated with the i^{th} excitation is obtained by integration of the i^{th} contribution to the energy momentum over a spacelike hypersurface,

$$p_i^\nu = \int T_i^{\nu\sigma} d\Sigma_\sigma = \int f_i^2 (u^\nu u^\sigma - \frac{1}{2} g^{\nu\sigma})(-u_\sigma dV) = \frac{3}{2}\int f_i^2 u^\nu dV = \int d\Omega(\eta_i^\nu) \tag{22}$$

where $d\Omega = dx^\sigma d\Sigma_\sigma = -u_\sigma dx^\sigma dV$ is the measure of spacetime and $\eta_i^\nu = \frac{3}{2} f_i^2 u^\nu u^\sigma \partial_\sigma$, the spacelike surface being $d\Sigma_\nu = -u_\nu dV$ where $dV = u^\sigma d\Sigma_\sigma$.[1]

---

[1] The present treatment is done for comparison with standard theory. A treatment utilizing the timelike Killing vector $\xi_\mu = (\partial/\partial t)_\mu$ (see ref. [2], p. 286) with $d\Omega = dx^\sigma d\Sigma_\sigma = -\xi_\sigma dx^\sigma dV$ may be utilized with the same subsequent development as is given here. In particular, that treatment reveals explicitly the angular momentum due to spin of the excitation (particle) and avoids some of the complications of three-space measures inherent to the present analysis. The results presented here are not changed by such an analysis.

Now, by Stoke's theorem [11], $\int_{\partial U} d\Omega(\eta_i^\nu) \mid_{\partial U} = \int_U \mathcal{L}_{\eta_i^\nu} d\Omega$ where $\mathcal{L}_{\eta^\nu}$ indicates the Lie derivative and ∂U is the boundry of U. The integration over spacetime is to be taken over all time history of the ith excitation up until the time of interest and over all spacelike surface influenced by the excitation [12]. But $(\mathcal{L}_{\eta_i^\nu} d\Omega)_\mu = (-u_\mu dV)_{;\sigma} \frac{3}{2} f_i^2 u^\nu u^\sigma + (-u_\sigma dV)(\frac{3}{2} f_i^2 u^\nu u^\sigma)_{;\mu}$. Then, using $dV_{;\sigma} u^\sigma = d\dot{V} = \Theta dV$ and previous expressions for $(f_i^2)_{,\nu}$ and u$^\nu_{;\mu}$ (from equs. (5) and (7)) gives

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\eta_i^\nu} d\Omega)_\mu = \frac{1}{2} f_i^2 \left\{3a(u^\nu s_\mu - s^\nu u_\mu) + \Theta \delta^\nu_\mu + 3(\sigma^\nu_{.\mu} + \omega^\nu_{.\mu})\right\} dV. \tag{23}$$

Thereby,

$$p_i^\nu = \frac{1}{2} \int f_i^2 \left\{3a(u^\nu s_\sigma - s^\nu u_\sigma) + \Theta \delta^\nu_\sigma + 3(\sigma^\nu_{.\sigma} + \omega^\nu_{.\sigma})\right\} dx^\sigma dV. \tag{24}$$

Further consideration is given to type EW excitations: $\sigma^\nu_{.\mu} = \omega^\nu_{.\mu} = 0$. Then, with well-separated core excitation locations (assumed in all the following) for which $f_{EW}^2 = \sum_{F=1}^3 f_{EWF}^2 \rightarrow \sum_F \sum_i f_{EWiF}^2$, the index F counting the three parameters (families) of Fermi-Walker modes, writing the Kronecker delta as $\delta^\nu_\mu = -u^\nu u_\mu + s^\nu s_\mu + q^\nu q_\mu + r^\nu r_\mu = -u^\nu u_\mu + h^\nu_\mu$ and using $dx^\nu dV = u^\nu d\Omega + h^\nu_\sigma dx^\sigma dV$ gives

$$p_{EWi}^\nu = \int \frac{f_{EWi}^2}{2} (3as^\nu + \Theta u^\nu) d\Omega + \int \frac{f_{EWi}^2}{2} \left\{\Theta(q^\nu \tilde{q} + r^\nu \tilde{r} + s^\nu \tilde{s}) + 3au^\nu \tilde{s}\right\} dV, \tag{25}$$

having employed the one-forms $\tilde{u} = u_\sigma dx^\sigma, \tilde{s} = s_\sigma dx^\sigma, \tilde{q} = q_\sigma dx^\sigma$, and $\tilde{r} = r_\sigma dx^\sigma$ and, for present purposes, considering only a generic EW excitation for which the family parameter is not distinguished. Then, using $dV = -d\Omega/\tilde{u}$ allows writing

$$p_{EWi}^\nu = \int \frac{f_{EWi}^2}{2\tilde{u}} \left\{(\Theta\tilde{u} - 3a\tilde{s})u^\nu + (3a\tilde{u} - \Theta\tilde{s})s^\nu - \Theta(\tilde{q}q^\nu + \tilde{r}r^\nu)\right\} d\Omega. \tag{26}$$

Now, define an external space for excitation i by

$$\int_\infty^r a_r dr \leq 1, \tag{27}$$

since it fails to be accurate to extend beyond this limit toward an excitation core the essentially flat spacetime existing at large distances from the core [13]. Here, the limit ∞ designates an asymptotically flat region far from the i^{th} (or any other) excitation core. Thereby, for the generic model utilized in the Appendix, external space for excitation i is given by

$$\int_\infty^r a_r dr = \int_\infty^r \frac{-m}{r^2} dr = \frac{m}{r} \leq 1, \tag{28}$$

that is, for distances (from core position) exceeding $m \simeq \xi_0/(32\pi)^{1/3} = 8.71188 \times 10^{-34} cm$. This gives the minimum radius that may be taken for a point particle when representing spacetime behavior as the interaction of point particles with interactions in standard form, as developed below. Then, writing the momentum integral as two integrals,

$$\mathbf{p}_{EWi} = \frac{3}{2} \int f_{EWi}^2 \mathbf{u} dV = \frac{3}{2} \int_{in_i} f_{EWi}^2 \mathbf{u} dV + \int_{ex_i} \frac{f_{EWi}^2}{2\tilde{u}} \left\{(\Theta\tilde{u} - 3a\tilde{s})\mathbf{u} + (3a\tilde{u} - \Theta\tilde{s})\mathbf{s} - \Theta(\tilde{q}\mathbf{q} + \tilde{r}\mathbf{r})\right\} d\Omega, \tag{29}$$

where the designation of an integral over external space by ex is for values of $\{x^\nu\}$ compatible with the above restriction and internal space (borrowing from standard terminology) is over the remaining spacetime. In practice, radial distances generally taken to comprise external space are determined by collision energies in scattering events and are strongly in compliance with the above established crtieria. Far from the excitation core, the asymptotically Lorentzian rest frame, with metric $g_{L\alpha\beta}$ (indices for which are taken from the early part of the Greek alphabet without parentheses), and utilized in extension in the subsequent analysis, will be referred to as the extended Lorentzian.

Notice that $\mathbf{p}_{EWi}$ is parametrically dependent on the relative position of all other excitations with respect to the i^{th} excitation, but is not a function of $\mathbf{x}$ since integration is over $\mathbf{x}$. Said differently, the momentum representation of the excitation is defined globally. Setting

$$\mathbf{P}_i = \frac{3}{2} \int_{in_i} f_i^2 \mathbf{u} dV \tag{30}$$

and recognizing that $\mathbf{p}_i$ resides [14] in the extended Lorentzian gives

$$p_i^\alpha = P_i^\alpha + \int_{ex_i} \frac{f_i^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_i)}{2\tilde{u}} \left\{(\Theta\tilde{u} - 3a\tilde{s})u^\alpha + (3a\tilde{u} - \Theta\tilde{s})s^\alpha - \Theta(\tilde{q}q^\alpha + \tilde{r}r^\alpha)\right\} d\Omega(\mathbf{x}), \tag{31}$$

with the understanding that Euclidean spatial coordinates are now employed (components in equation (29) have been projected with tetrad values $e_\nu^\alpha(\mathbf{x}_i)$ and, in particular, the delta-function property of f_i^2 (see Appendix) is used after placing $e_\nu^\alpha(\mathbf{x}_i)$ inside the integral : $f_i^2 e_\nu^\alpha(\mathbf{x}_i) = f_i^2 e_\nu^\alpha(\mathbf{x})$ and subsequently $u^\alpha = e_\nu^\alpha(\mathbf{x})u^\nu$, for example). Multiplying this expression by $\psi_{bEW}(\mathbf{y})\exp(i\mathbf{p}_i\cdot[\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}_i]\,)d^4p_i/(2\pi)^4$, b being 1 or 2, and integrating over all momentum space for which d^4p_i is a measure followed by integration over all $\mathbf{y}$ gives

$$i\partial_i^\alpha\psi_b(\mathbf{x}_i) = P_i^\alpha\psi_b(\mathbf{x}_i) + \psi_b(\mathbf{x}_i)\int_{ex_i} \frac{f_i^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_i)}{2\tilde{u}} \left\{(\Theta\tilde{u} - 3a\tilde{s})u^\alpha + (3a\tilde{u} - \Theta\tilde{s})s^\alpha - \Theta(\tilde{q}q^\alpha + \tilde{r}r^\alpha)\right\} d\Omega(\mathbf{x}), \tag{32}$$

where $\partial_i^\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i\alpha}}$ and the EW index has been dropped for simplicity. It is noted that $\psi_b(\mathbf{x}_i)$ is a field theory quantity in that all (EW) excitations are thereby represented. (Due to the orthogonality $(\Phi_\mathbf{p}, \Phi_{\mathbf{p}'}) = \int \Phi_\mathbf{p}^\dagger\Phi_{\mathbf{p}'}d^4x/(2\pi)^4 = \delta^4(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}')$ of plane waves $\Phi_\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}) = \exp(i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x})$, and the convolution theorem, the spectral power density in momentum space for $\psi_b(\mathbf{x}_i)$ becomes $|(\psi_b, \Phi_\mathbf{p})|^2$ yielding the interpretation of this latter quantity as the probability that the state described by ψ_b is found in state $\Phi_\mathbf{p}$ with momentum $\mathbf{p}$. [15])

For $b = 1$, the delta-function property of f_i^2 is used following placement of $\psi_1(\mathbf{x}_i)$ inside the integral: $f_i^2\psi_1(\mathbf{x}_i) = f_i^2\psi_1(\mathbf{x})$. Contracting on the left with the Dirac matrices, $\gamma_{d\alpha}$, where the subscript d has been inserted for clarity, then gives

$$i\gamma_d\cdot\partial_i\psi_1(\mathbf{x}_i) = \gamma_d\cdot P_i\psi_1(\mathbf{x}_i) + \int_{ex_i} \frac{f_i^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_i)}{2\tilde{u}} \left\{(\Theta\tilde{u} - 3a\tilde{s})\gamma\cdot u + (3a\tilde{u} - \Theta\tilde{s})\gamma\cdot s\right\}\psi_1(\mathbf{x})d\Omega(\mathbf{x}), \tag{33}$$

where $\gamma_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \gamma_{d\alpha}$ has been taken throughout external space and it was recognized that $\gamma\cdot q\psi_1 = \gamma\cdot r\psi_1 = 0$. Then, using $\gamma\cdot s\psi_1 = -\gamma^5\gamma\cdot u\psi_1$ allows writing $\gamma\cdot u\psi_1 = (3\gamma\cdot u - \gamma\cdot s)(3+\gamma^5)\psi_1/8$ and $\gamma\cdot s\psi_1 = (3\gamma\cdot s - \gamma\cdot u)(3+\gamma^5)\psi_1/8$. Using these results to replace $\gamma\cdot s\psi_1$ and $\gamma\cdot u\psi_1$in the integral above gives

$$i\gamma_d\cdot\partial_i\psi_{1L}(\mathbf{x}_i) = \gamma_d\cdot P_i\psi_{1L}(\mathbf{x}_i) + \frac{g\gamma_d^\alpha Z_\alpha}{2\cos\theta_w}\psi_{1L}(\mathbf{x}_i) \tag{34}$$

and

$$i\gamma_d\cdot\partial_i\psi_{1R}(\mathbf{x}_i) = \gamma_d\cdot P_i\psi_{1R}(\mathbf{x}_i) + \frac{g\gamma_d^\alpha Z_\alpha}{\cos\theta_w}\psi_{1R}(\mathbf{x}_i) \tag{35}$$

where the definition

$$\frac{gZ_\alpha}{\cos\theta_w} = \frac{gZ_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_i)}{\cos\theta_w} = \int_{ex_i} \frac{f_i^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_i)}{4\tilde{u}} \left\{(\Theta\tilde{u} - 3a\tilde{s})(3u_\alpha - s_\alpha) + (3a\tilde{u} - \Theta\tilde{s})(3s_\alpha - u_\alpha)\right\} d\Omega(\mathbf{x}) \tag{36}$$

has been employed and the delta function properties of f_i^2 have been used to remove ψ_{1L} and ψ_{1R} from the integral.

Alternatively, using $\gamma\cdot s\psi_1 = \gamma\cdot u\gamma^5\psi_1$ and $\gamma\cdot s\gamma^5\psi_1 = \gamma\cdot u\psi_1$in equ. (33) permits writing

$$i\gamma_d\cdot\partial_i\psi_1(\mathbf{x}_i) = \gamma_d\cdot P_i\psi_1(\mathbf{x}_i) + \int_{ex_i} \frac{f_i^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_i)}{2\tilde{u}} (\tilde{u}\gamma\cdot u - \tilde{s}\gamma\cdot s)(\Theta + 3a\gamma^5)\psi_1(\mathbf{x})d\Omega(\mathbf{x}), \tag{37}$$

from which, upon comparison with equs.(34)and (35), follow the identifications $gZ_\alpha/2\cos\theta_w = \int_{ex_i} f_i^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_i)(\tilde{u}u_\alpha - \tilde{s}s_\alpha)(\Theta - 3a)d\Omega(\mathbf{x})/2\tilde{u}$ and $gZ_\alpha/\cos\theta_w = \int_{ex_i} f_i^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_i)(\tilde{u}u_\alpha - \tilde{s}s_\alpha)(\Theta + 3a)d\Omega(\mathbf{x})/2\tilde{u}$. Adding these latter two equations gives

$$\frac{gZ_\alpha}{\cos\theta_w} = \frac{2}{3}\int_{ex_i} \frac{f_i^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_i)}{\tilde{u}} (\tilde{u}u_\alpha - \tilde{s}s_\alpha)\Theta d\Omega(\mathbf{x}). \tag{38}$$

In a similar manner, for $b = 2$, utilizing the delta function properties of f_i^2, and contracting on the left with $\gamma_{d\alpha}$ gives

$$i\gamma_d \cdot \partial_i \psi_2(\mathbf{x}_i) = \gamma_d \cdot P_i \psi_2(\mathbf{x}_i) + \int_{ex_i} \frac{f_i^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_i)}{2\tilde{u}} \left\{-\Theta(\tilde{q}\gamma \cdot q + \tilde{r}\gamma \cdot r)\right\} \psi_2(\mathbf{x}) d\Omega(\mathbf{x}), \tag{39}$$

where it has been recognized that $\gamma \cdot u\psi_2 = \gamma \cdot s\psi_2 = 0$. Using $\gamma \cdot r\psi_2 = i\gamma^5\gamma \cdot q\psi_2$ then gives

$$\begin{aligned} i\gamma_d \cdot \partial_i \psi_2(\mathbf{x}_i) &= \gamma_d \cdot P_i \psi_2(\mathbf{x}_i) - \int_{ex_i} \frac{f_i^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_i)}{2\tilde{u}} \Theta(\tilde{r} - i\tilde{q}\gamma^5)\gamma \cdot r\psi_2(\mathbf{x}) d\Omega(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \gamma_d \cdot P_i \psi_2(\mathbf{x}_i) - \int_{ex_i} \frac{f_i^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_i)}{2\tilde{u}} \Theta(\tilde{q} + i\tilde{r}\gamma^5)\gamma \cdot q\psi_2(\mathbf{x}) d\Omega(\mathbf{x}), \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} i\gamma_d \cdot \partial_i \psi_{2L}(\mathbf{x}_i) &= \gamma_d \cdot P_i \psi_{2L}(\mathbf{x}_i) - \left\{ \gamma_d^\alpha \int_{ex_i} \frac{f_i^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_i)}{2\tilde{u}} \Theta(\tilde{r} - i\tilde{q}) r_\alpha d\Omega(\mathbf{x}) \right\} \psi_{2L}(\mathbf{x}_i) \\ &= \gamma_d \cdot P_i \psi_{2L}(\mathbf{x}_i) - \left\{ \gamma_d^\alpha \int_{ex_i} \frac{f_i^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_i)}{2\tilde{u}} \Theta(\tilde{q} + i\tilde{r}) q_\alpha d\Omega(\mathbf{x}) \right\} \psi_{2L}(\mathbf{x}_i), \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} i\gamma_d \cdot \partial_i \psi_{2R}(\mathbf{x}_i) &= \gamma_d \cdot P_i \psi_{2R}(\mathbf{x}_i) - \left\{ \gamma_d^\alpha \int_{ex_i} \frac{f_i^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_i)}{2\tilde{u}} \Theta(\tilde{r} + i\tilde{q}) r_\alpha d\Omega(\mathbf{x}) \right\} \psi_{2R}(\mathbf{x}_i) \\ &= \gamma_d \cdot P_i \psi_{2R}(\mathbf{x}_i) - \left\{ \gamma_d^\alpha \int_{ex_i} \frac{f_i^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_i)}{2\tilde{u}} \Theta(\tilde{q} - i\tilde{r}) q_\alpha d\Omega(\mathbf{x}) \right\} \psi_{2R}(\mathbf{x}_i), \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

the delta function properties of f_i^2 having been used to remove ψ_{2L} and ψ_{2R} from the integral.

Now,

$$\gamma_d \cdot P_i \psi_b(\mathbf{x}_i) = \frac{3}{2} \int_{in_i} f_i^2 \gamma_d \cdot u\psi_b(\mathbf{x}) dV = \frac{3}{2} \int_{in_i} f_i^2 (\gamma \cdot u - \breve{\gamma} \cdot u)\psi_b(\mathbf{x}) dV \tag{43}$$

where $\breve{\gamma} \cdot u = \gamma \cdot u - \gamma_d^\alpha u_\alpha = \gamma \cdot u - \gamma^\mu e_\mu^{(\alpha)} e_\alpha^\nu u_\nu$, having used $\gamma_d^\alpha = \gamma^\mu e_\mu^{(\alpha)}$ and $u_\alpha = e_\alpha^\nu u_\nu$. At the boundry between internal and external space, $e_\mu^{(\alpha)}(\mathbf{x}_b) e_\alpha^\nu(\mathbf{x}_b) = \delta_\mu^\nu$, and in general, to first order, $e_\mu^{(\alpha)}(\mathbf{x}) e_\alpha^\nu(\mathbf{x}) \cong \delta_\mu^\nu (1 + \zeta(\mathbf{x}))$. Then $\breve{\gamma} \cdot u \cong -(\gamma \cdot u)\zeta(\mathbf{x})$ and

$$\gamma_d \cdot P_i \psi_b(\mathbf{x}_i) \cong \frac{3}{2} \int_{in_i} f_i^2 \gamma \cdot u\psi_b(\mathbf{x}) dV + \frac{3}{2} \int_{in_i} f_i^2 (\gamma \cdot u)\zeta(\mathbf{x})\psi_b(\mathbf{x}) dV, \tag{44}$$

neglecting higher order terms. Then, with the notation

$$gW_\alpha^1 = gW_\alpha^1(\mathbf{x}_i) = \int_{ex_i} \frac{\tilde{r}(\mathbf{x})}{\tilde{u}(\mathbf{x})} f_i^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_i)\Theta(\mathbf{x}) r_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) d\Omega(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{ex_i} \frac{\tilde{q}(\mathbf{x})}{\tilde{u}(\mathbf{x})} f_i^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_i)\Theta(\mathbf{x}) q_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) d\Omega(\mathbf{x}), \tag{45}$$

$$gW_\alpha^2 = gW_\alpha^2(\mathbf{x}_i) = \int_{ex_i} \frac{\tilde{q}(\mathbf{x})}{\tilde{u}(\mathbf{x})} f_i^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_i)\Theta(\mathbf{x}) r_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) d\Omega(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{ex_i} -\frac{\tilde{r}(\mathbf{x})}{\tilde{u}(\mathbf{x})} f_i^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_i)\Theta(\mathbf{x}) q_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) d\Omega(\mathbf{x}), \tag{46}$$

$$gW_\alpha^3 = gW_\alpha^3(\mathbf{x}_i) = \int_{ex_i} \frac{\tilde{r}(\mathbf{x})}{\tilde{u}(\mathbf{x})} f_i^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_i)\Theta(\mathbf{x}) r_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) d\Omega(\mathbf{x}), \tag{47}$$

$$M_i = \frac{3}{2} \int_{in_i} f_i^2 dV, \tag{48}$$

and

$$\eta_i = \frac{3}{2} \int_{in_i} f_i^2 \zeta dV, \tag{49}$$

it follows, since $\gamma \cdot u\psi_1(\mathbf{x}) = \psi_1(\mathbf{x})$ and $\gamma \cdot u\psi_2(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, that

$$\gamma_d \cdot P_i\psi_1(\mathbf{x}_i) = M_i\psi_1(\mathbf{x}_i) + \eta_i\psi_1(\mathbf{x}_i) \tag{50}$$

and

$$\gamma_d \cdot P_i\psi_2(\mathbf{x}_i) = 0, \tag{51}$$

giving

$$i\gamma_d \cdot \partial_i\psi_{1L}(\mathbf{x}_i) = M_i\psi_{1R}(\mathbf{x}_i) + \frac{g\gamma_d \cdot Z}{2\cos\theta_w}\psi_{1L}(\mathbf{x}_i) + \eta_i\psi_{1R}(\mathbf{x}_i), \tag{52}$$

$$i\gamma_d \cdot \partial_i\psi_{1R}(\mathbf{x}_i) = M_i\psi_{1L}(\mathbf{x}_i) + \frac{g\gamma_d \cdot Z}{\cos\theta_w}\psi_{1R}(\mathbf{x}_i) + \eta_i\psi_{1L}(\mathbf{x}_i), \tag{53}$$

$$i\gamma_d \cdot \partial_i\psi_{2L}(\mathbf{x}_i) = -\frac{g\gamma_d \cdot (W^1 - iW^2)}{2}\psi_{2L}(\mathbf{x}_i), \tag{54}$$

and

$$i\gamma_d \cdot \partial_i\psi_{2R}(\mathbf{x}_i) = -\frac{g\gamma_d \cdot (W^1 + iW^2)}{2}\psi_{2R}(\mathbf{x}_i). \tag{55}$$

Noting that $\gamma_d \cdot Z\psi_2 = \gamma_d \cdot W^1\psi_1 = \gamma_d \cdot W^2\psi_1 = 0$ allows writing, for the linearly independent combinations $(\psi_{1L} - \psi_{2L})/\sqrt{2} = \psi_u$ and $(\psi_{1L} + \psi_{2L})/\sqrt{2} = \psi_{lL}$,

$$i\gamma_d \cdot \partial_i \begin{pmatrix} \psi_u \\ \psi_{lL} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{g}{2}\gamma_d^\alpha \begin{pmatrix} Z_\alpha/\cos\theta_w & W_\alpha^1 - iW_\alpha^2 \\ W_\alpha^1 + iW_\alpha^2 & -Z_\alpha/\cos\theta_w \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_u \\ \psi_{lL} \end{pmatrix} +$$

$$\frac{g\gamma_d^\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ iW_\alpha^2\psi_{2L} + Z_\alpha\psi_{1L}/\cos\theta_w \end{pmatrix} + \frac{M_i + \eta_i}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1R} \\ \psi_{1R} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{56}$$

Designating $g'B_\alpha = g(W_\alpha^3 - \frac{Z_\alpha}{\cos\theta_w})$, with $g' = g\tan\theta_w$ and noting that $-i\gamma_d^\alpha W_\alpha^2\psi_{2L} = \gamma_d^\alpha W_\alpha^3\psi_{2L}$ (since $\gamma \cdot q\psi_2 = -i\gamma^5\gamma \cdot r\psi_2$) gives

$$\frac{g\gamma_d^\alpha}{\sqrt{2}}\left(iW_\alpha^2\psi_{2L} + \frac{Z_\alpha}{\cos\theta_w}\psi_{1L}\right) = g\gamma_d^\alpha(-W_\alpha^3 + \frac{Z_\alpha}{\cos\theta_w})\psi_{lL} = -g'\gamma_d^\alpha B_\alpha\psi_{lL}, \tag{57}$$

which allows writing

$$i\gamma_d \cdot \partial_i \begin{pmatrix} \psi_u \\ \psi_{lL} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{g}{2}\gamma_d^\alpha \begin{pmatrix} Z_\alpha/\cos\theta_w & W_\alpha^1 - iW_\alpha^2 \\ W_\alpha^1 + iW_\alpha^2 & -Z_\alpha/\cos\theta_w \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_u \\ \psi_{lL} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ g'\gamma_d^\alpha B_\alpha\psi_{lL} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{M_i + \eta_i}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1R} \\ \psi_{1R} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{58}$$

Now, defining $\psi_l = \psi_{1R} + \psi_{lL}$ gives

$$i\gamma_d \cdot \partial_i \begin{pmatrix} \psi_u \\ \psi_l \end{pmatrix} = \frac{g}{2}\gamma_d^\alpha \begin{pmatrix} Z_\alpha/\cos\theta_w & W_\alpha^1 - iW_\alpha^2 \\ W_\alpha^1 + iW_\alpha^2 & -Z_\alpha/\cos\theta_w \end{pmatrix} P_L \begin{pmatrix} \psi_u \\ \psi_l \end{pmatrix} - \tag{59}$$

$$\gamma_d^\alpha \left\{ g'B_\alpha\psi_{lL} - \frac{gZ_\alpha}{\cos\theta_w}\psi_{1R} \right\} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{M_i + \eta_i}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{1R} \\ \sqrt{2}\psi_{1L} + \psi_{1R} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The three components ψ_{1R}, ψ_{1L},ψ_{2L}, give a complete physical description just as three members of the tetrad (u, s, q, r) can be used to construct the fourth member by Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization [16]. Invariant properties under the Lorentz group as well as the representations with respect to the electroweak gauge groups determine the combinations that are called particles.

Recalling that $\gamma_d^\alpha W_\alpha^3\psi_{1R} = 0$, then

$$\gamma_d^\alpha\left\{g'B_\alpha\psi_{lL}-\frac{gZ_\alpha}{\cos\theta_w}\psi_{1R}\right\}=\gamma_d^\alpha g'B_\alpha(\psi_{lL}+\psi_{1R})=\gamma_d^\alpha g'B_\alpha\psi_l. \tag{60}$$

For comparison to the standard model, define

$$G_e\phi=G_e\begin{pmatrix}\phi_1\\ \phi_2\end{pmatrix}=\frac{M_i+\eta_i}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}1\\ 1\end{pmatrix} \tag{61}$$

where G_e is Fermi's constant, and

$$\psi_A=\begin{pmatrix}\psi_u\\ \psi_l\end{pmatrix}, \tag{62}$$

with $\psi_{AL}=P_L\psi_A$. It follows that

$$G_e\psi_{lR}\phi+G_e\phi^\dagger\psi_{AL}\begin{pmatrix}0\\ 1\end{pmatrix}=G_e\begin{pmatrix}\psi_{lR}\phi_1\\ \psi_{lR}\phi_2+\psi_u\phi_1^*+\psi_{lL}\phi_2^*\end{pmatrix} \tag{63}$$

$$=\frac{M_i+\eta_i}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}\psi_{lR}\\ \psi_l+\psi_u\end{pmatrix}=\frac{M_i+\eta_i}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix}\psi_{1R}\\ \sqrt{2}\psi_{1L}+\psi_{1R}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Thereby,

$$i\gamma_d\cdot\partial_i\psi_A=\frac{g}{2}\gamma_d^\alpha\left(W_\alpha^1\sigma_1+W_\alpha^2\sigma_2+\frac{Z_\alpha}{\cos\theta_w}\sigma_3\right)\psi_{AL}-\begin{pmatrix}0\\ g'\gamma_d^\alpha B_\alpha\psi_l\end{pmatrix}+G_e\psi_{lR}\phi+G_e\phi^\dagger\psi_{AL}\begin{pmatrix}0\\ 1\end{pmatrix}, \tag{64}$$

or equivalently, with $\mathbf{W}_\alpha\cdot\sigma=W_\alpha^i\sigma_i$ (i running from 1 to 3),

$$i\gamma_d\cdot\partial_i\psi_{AL}=\frac{g}{2}\gamma_d^\alpha\mathbf{W}_\alpha\cdot\sigma\psi_{AL}-\frac{g'}{2}\gamma_d^\alpha B_\alpha\psi_{AL}+G_e\psi_{lR}\phi, \tag{65}$$

and

$$i\gamma_d\cdot\partial_i\psi_{AR}=-g'\gamma_d^\alpha B_\alpha\psi_{AR}+G_e\phi^\dagger\psi_{AL}, \tag{66}$$

where $\psi_{AR}=\psi_{1R}$. Then the normal form of standard electroweak theory follows by going to the unitary gauge [17].

It is seen that the scalar field $\eta(\mathbf{x})$, identifiable as the Higgs field in the standard model, arises from the departure from flatness of the metric in the vicinity of the core of an excitation and thus the association of the Higgs field with particle mass generation is evident. In particular, since in the unitary gauge, $G_e\phi\to(M_i+\eta_i)\begin{pmatrix}0\\ 1\end{pmatrix}$, the doublet ψ_A contains, at this level, a massless component and a massive component of mass M (see Appendix).

Multiplying $\sin\theta_w A_\alpha=W_\alpha^3-\cos\theta_w Z_\alpha$, by g and using equs. (38) and (47) along with $e=g\sin\theta_w$ gives

$$eA_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_i)=\int_{ex_i}f_{EWex}^2(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_i)\Theta(\mathbf{x})\left(\tilde{r}(\mathbf{x})r_\alpha(\mathbf{x})-\frac{2}{3}\cos^2\theta_w[\tilde{u}u_\alpha-\tilde{s}s_\alpha]\right)d\Omega(\mathbf{x})/\tilde{u}(\mathbf{x}). \tag{67}$$

Now, due to the identity of the extended Lorentzian frame with that of the underlying spacetime at large distances from an excitation core, evaluation of low energy (large distance) coupling constants, and in particular, the fine structure constant, may be realized utilizing calculations based on generalized coordinates. Then, since $s_0=r_0=0$,

$$eA_0=-\frac{2}{3}\cos^2\theta_w\int_{ex_i}f_{EWex}^2\Theta u_0 d\Omega \tag{68}$$

using an obivous simplified notation. Also, $\Theta=-(\ln f)_{,\nu}u^\nu=-(\ln f)_{,0}u^0$ (see Appendix) and $u^\sigma u_\sigma=u^0u_0=-1$ give $f_{EWex}^2\Theta u_0=f_{EWex}(f_{EWex})_{,0}$ and it follows that

$$eA_0=-\frac{\cos^2\theta_w}{3}\int_{ex_i}\left(f_{EWex}^2\right)_{,0}d\Omega. \tag{69}$$

From the appendix, using (A21) for a single excitation at rest at the origin,

$$f_{EWex}^{2},_{0}=\frac{-2\pi^{2}}{\xi_{0}^{3}}mS(t)\delta(t-r)\delta(r)\mu, \tag{70}$$

where $\delta'(x)=-\delta(x)/x$ was used to evaluate $\delta'(t-r)=-\ \delta(t-r)/\epsilon\xi_0$. Then,

$$eA_0=\frac{2\pi^2 m\cos^2\theta_w}{3\xi_0^3}\int_{ex}S(t)\delta(t-r)\delta(r)\mu\Sigma\sin\theta dtdrd\theta d\phi ==\frac{4\pi^2 m\cos^2\theta_w}{3\xi_0}\int_{ex}\frac{S(t)\delta(t^2-r^2)\delta(r)}{\xi_0^2}d^4z, \tag{71}$$

the last step resulting from $\mu\Sigma=r$, $d^4z\equiv r^2\sin\theta dtdrd\theta d\phi$ and $S(t)\delta(t-r)\delta(r)/r=2S(t)\delta(t^2-r^2)$. Noticing that $\int_0^\infty\delta(r)dr=1/2$ and writing the Kronecker delta as $\delta_{a,b}$, since $\int\delta(x)dx=1$ yields a distribution $\delta(x)=\delta_{x.o}\delta(0)=\delta_{x.o}/\pi\epsilon\xi_0$, it then follows that $\delta(r)=\delta_{|\vec{r}|,0}/2\pi\epsilon\xi_0$, giving

$$eA_0=\frac{2\pi^2 m\cos^2\theta_w}{3\xi_0}\int_{ex}\frac{S(t)\delta(t^2-r^2)\delta_{|\vec{r}|,0}}{\epsilon\pi\xi_0^3}d^4z. \tag{72}$$

Now, treating the excitation at the origin as a point object involves the internal space volume $(4\pi m^3/3)$ in the limit: $\lim_{\delta V\to 0}\left(\delta_{|\vec{r}|,0}/\delta V\right)=\delta_{|\vec{r}|,0}/(\ \epsilon 4\pi m^3/3)$. Thereby, since [18] $\delta^3(\vec{r})=\lim_{\delta V\to 0}\left(\delta_{|\vec{r}|,0}/\delta V\right)$, it follows that $\delta_{|\vec{r}|,0}/\epsilon\pi\xi_0^3=\left[4\left(m/\xi_0\right)^3/3\right]\delta_{|\vec{r}|,0}/(\ \epsilon 4\pi m^3/3)=4\left(m/\xi_0\right)^3\delta^3(\vec{r})/3$, and

$$eA_0=\frac{8\pi^2\cos^2\theta_w}{9}\left(\frac{m}{\xi_0}\right)^4\int S(t)\delta(t^2-r^2)\delta^3(\vec{r})d^4z. \tag{73}$$

Furthermore, $(m/\xi_0)^4=(m_0\xi_0/2\pi)^4=\left([\pi s_z]^{2/3}/2\pi\right)^4=\left(\pi s_z^4\right)^{2/3}/16\pi^2$ giving

$$eA_0=\frac{\left(\pi s_z^4\right)^{2/3}\cos^2\theta_w}{18}\int S(t)\delta(t^2-r^2)\delta^3(\vec{r})d^4z. \tag{74}$$

Comparison with standard low energy theory [19], $eA_0(y)=2\alpha\int d^4zS[y_0-x_0(\tau)]\delta\{[y-x(\tau)]^2\}\delta^3(\overrightarrow{y}-\overrightarrow{x}(\tau))$, gives $\alpha=e^2/4\pi=\left(\pi s_z^4\right)^{2/3}\cos^2\theta_w/36.$ Since $s_z=1/2$ and [3] $\sin^2\theta_w=0.22215(76)$, then $\alpha^{-1}=137.00(13)$, consistent with experiment. More to the point, since α^{-1} is known from experiment to high accuracy [3] (137.03599911(76)), then $\sin^2\theta_w=0.2223552634(26)$.[2] Notice that the low energy weak coupling g' is exact at $\pi^{5/6}s_z^{4/3}/3=0.343397380776359.........$ whereas $g=0.6421905192(64)$. (Coupling constant charges are $\sim$ internal space surface area in Planck size units: $\xi_0=\sqrt{2\pi}l_p$.) Obivous consequences follow: in the on-shell scheme [21], for example, based on the value $M_z=91.1876(21)GeV$, it follows that $M_w=80.4130(19)GeV$.

APPENDIX A: GENERIC EW MODEL

Generic EW excitations (see Section III) are describable with the Kerr family of solutions. Vaidya [22], [23] presented external solutions for the Kerr metric in the Einstein universe for which the energy density and pressure are given by

$$\varepsilon=-3p=\frac{3}{4\xi_0^2X^2}(1+2m\mu) \tag{A1}$$

with X being the scale factor, $\xi_0=\sqrt{2\pi G}=4.05084\times10^{-33}cm$, and m and μ are specified below. For $X^2\to\infty$, the solution becomes the Kerr metric in Minkowski space. This latter metric is written here in Boyer-Lindquist (S-type) coordinates [24] as

$$ds^2=-\left(-\sqrt{1+2m\mu}dt-\frac{2m\mu J\sin^2\theta}{\sqrt{1+2m\mu}}d\phi\right)^2+\frac{\Sigma}{\Delta}dr^2+\Sigma d\theta^2+\frac{\Delta\sin^2\theta}{1+2m\mu}d\phi^2, \tag{A2}$$

where $J=s_z/m_0$, $\Delta=r^2+J^2+2mr$, $m=m_0\xi_0^2/2\pi$, $\Sigma=r^2+J^2\cos^2\theta$ and $\mu=r/\Sigma$ with s_z being angular momentum along the symmetry axis in units of $\hbar$ and $-m_0$ being the negative mass of the singularity, the negative r side of the disk having been taken and a relabeling having been carried-out so that r values are taken to be positive. The invariant measure is $d\Omega = \sqrt{-g}d^4x = \Sigma \sin\theta dt dr d\theta d\phi$.

---

[2] Based on the recently measured value $\alpha^{-1}=137.035999710(96)$ [see ref. [20]], $\sin^2\theta_w=0.22235526678(54)$.

Then, taking

$$\tilde{u} = -\sqrt{1+2m\mu}dt - \frac{2m\mu J \sin^2\theta}{\sqrt{1+2m\mu}}d\phi, \tag{A3}$$

the associated velocity vector is $\{u^\nu\} = (1/\sqrt{1+2m\mu}, 0, 0, 0)$ and

$$R_{\mu\nu} = 4\xi_0^2 f^2 h_{\mu\nu} = 4\xi_0^2 f^2 diag(0, \Sigma/\Delta, \Sigma, \Delta\sin^2\theta/\left[1+2m\mu\right]). \tag{A4}$$

Then, from equ.(7), since $(\ln f)_{,\nu} = a_\nu + \Theta u_\nu/3$, it follows that $\Theta = -3(\ln f)_{,\sigma}\, u^\sigma$ and

$$\tilde{a} = d(\ln f) - \Theta\tilde{u}/3 = d(\ln f) + 3(\ln f)_{,\sigma}\, u^\sigma \tilde{u}. \tag{A5}$$

In particular, since $(\ln f)_{,\sigma}\, u^\sigma = (\ln f)_{,t}\, u^0 = 0$,

$$\tilde{a} = d(\ln f) = \frac{1}{2}d\{\ln(1+2m\mu)\} = \frac{m(-\Lambda dr + rJ^2\sin 2\theta d\theta)}{\Sigma^2(1+2m\mu)}, \tag{A6}$$

where $\Lambda = r^2 - J^2\cos^2\theta$, and consequently $a_r dr = -m\Lambda dr/\Sigma^2(1+2m\mu) \rightarrow -mdr/r^2$ for $r \gg J = 1.49889 \times 10^{-33} cm$ (see below). Thereby, $\{a^\nu\} = m(0, -\Delta\Lambda, rJ^2\sin 2\theta, 0)/\Sigma^3(1+2m\mu)$ and, since $a = \sqrt{a^\sigma a_\sigma} = m\sqrt{\Delta\Lambda^2 + (rJ^2\sin 2\theta)^2}/\Sigma^{5/2}(1+2m\mu)$, [3]

$$\tilde{s} = \frac{\sqrt{\Sigma}(-\Lambda dr + rJ^2\sin 2\theta d\theta)}{\sqrt{\Delta\Lambda^2 + (rJ^2\sin 2\theta)^2}}. \tag{A7}$$

Transformation of the above S-type coordinates to globally synchronized coordinates given by $t = \tau\sqrt{1+\rho^2}$ and $r = \rho\tau$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = &-\left\{(1+\rho^2)(1+2m\mu) - \frac{\rho^2\Sigma}{\Delta}\right\}d\tau^2 - 2\rho\tau(1+2m\mu - \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta})d\tau d\rho - 4mJ\mu\sqrt{1+\rho^2}\sin^2\theta d\tau d\phi \\ &+\tau^2\left\{\frac{\Sigma}{\Delta} - \frac{\rho^2(1+2m\mu)}{1+\rho^2}\right\}d\rho^2 - \frac{4mJ\mu\tau\rho}{\sqrt{1+\rho^2}}\sin^2\theta d\rho d\phi + \Sigma d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta(\rho^2\tau^2 + J^2 - 2m\mu J^2\sin^2\theta)d\phi^2 \end{aligned} \tag{A8}$$

where now $\Sigma = \rho^2\tau^2 + J^2\cos^2\theta$ and $\Delta = \rho^2\tau^2 + J^2 + 2m\rho\tau$. To evaluate the scale factor X, consider the limit $\rho\tau \gg J$ for which $\mu \rightarrow 0$ and Σ and $\Delta \rightarrow \rho^2\tau^2$, the metric going to the FRW value;

$$ds^2 = -d\tau^2 + \tau^2(\frac{d\rho^2}{1+\rho^2} + \rho^2 d\theta^2 + \rho^2\sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \tag{A9}$$

giving the expansion factor $S = \tau$. In the same limit and coordinates, the field equations reduce to $\ddot{S} = 0$ (yielding a constant $\dot{S}$ which is now written as $1 - \epsilon \rightarrow 1$, revealing ϵ as the physical limit corresponding to 0), and to

$$\varepsilon = \frac{3(\dot{S}^2 - 1)}{4\xi_0^2 S^2} = \frac{3([1-\epsilon]^2 - 1)}{4\xi_0^2 S^2} \rightarrow -3\epsilon/2\xi_0^2\tau^2. \tag{A10}$$

From (A1) in the same limit, the identification $3/4\xi_0^2 X^2 \equiv -3\epsilon/2\xi_0^2\tau^2$ follows, completing the normalization. (It is shown below that $\epsilon \simeq 10^{-23}/0.342$: the present theory reveals finite physical limits for both the 0 and the ∞ of standard theory; the mass magnitude of an excitation core which corresponds to the "bare mass" of standard theory is $m_0 \simeq 10^{19} GeV/1.51918$.) Thereby, with $f^2 = \varepsilon + p = 2\varepsilon/3$, from (A1)

---

[3] The rest of the tetrad follows from $q_\mu q_\nu + r_\mu r_\nu = h_{\mu\nu} - s_\mu s_\nu$, the orthonormality conditions and orientation choice : $\tilde{q} = -\sqrt{\Sigma}(rJ^2\sin 2\theta dr + \Delta\Lambda d\theta)/\sqrt{\Delta\left[\Delta\Lambda^2 + (rJ^2\sin 2\theta)^2\right]}$ and $\tilde{r} = -\sqrt{\Delta/1+2m\mu}\sin\theta d\phi$.

$$f^2_{EW} = -\frac{\epsilon}{\xi_0^2\tau^2}(1+2m\mu), \tag{A11}$$

which characterizes a normalized and structured vacuum due to the ring singularity. Explicitly, in S-type coordinates,

$$f^2_{EW} = -\frac{\epsilon}{\xi_0^2(t^2-r^2)}(1+\frac{2mr}{r^2+J^2\cos^2\theta}). \tag{A12}$$

For evaluation of integrals, the poles due to $(t^2-r^2)^{-1}$ may be handled in the standard way [25] by letting, with P indicating principle value,

$$\frac{1}{(t^2-r^2)} \rightarrow \left[P\left(\frac{1}{t-r}\right) - i\pi\delta(t-r)\right]\left[P\left(\frac{1}{t+r}\right) - i\pi\delta(t+r)\right] \rightarrow -\pi^2 2S(t)\delta(t-r)\delta(t+r) = -\pi^2 S(t)\delta(t-r)\delta(r), \tag{A13}$$

where, for later use, the δ-function is expressed by $\delta_\epsilon(r) = \epsilon\xi_0/\pi(r^2+\epsilon^2\xi_0^2)$ and it is noted that $\int_0^\infty \delta(r)dr = 1/2$. Since f^2dV (i.e., densities) must be considered in order to avoid spurious singularities when introducing distributional representations, it follows that, with the core contribution $f_c^2dV = -(2m_o/3\pi r^2)\delta(\cos\theta)\delta(r)\Sigma\sin\theta drd\theta d\phi$ (located on the Kerr ring $r=0$, $\theta=\pi/2$, normalization being $-m_0 = \frac{3}{2}\int f_c^2dV$, and $dV = -d\Omega/\tilde{u}$), the inclusive expression follows:

$$f^2_{EW}dV = \left\{\frac{\epsilon\pi^2 S(t)\delta(t-r)\delta(r)}{\xi_0^2} + \frac{2m\epsilon\pi^2 S(t)\delta(t-r)\delta(r)\mu}{\xi_0^2}\right\}\frac{d\Omega}{-\tilde{u}} - \frac{2m_0\delta(\cos\theta)\delta(r)}{3\pi r^2}\Sigma\sin\theta drd\theta d\phi. \tag{A14}$$

Recognizing that $-\delta(r)/\tilde{u} \rightarrow \delta(r)/dt$ and $\delta(0) = 1/\pi\epsilon\xi_0$, then

$$f^2_{EW}dV = \left\{\frac{\pi\delta(r)}{\xi_0^3} + \frac{2m\pi\delta(r)\mu}{\xi_0^3} - \frac{2m_0\delta(\cos\theta)\delta(r)}{3\pi r^2}\right\}\Sigma\sin\theta drd\theta d\phi. \tag{A15}$$

On the right hand side, the first term may be simplified using $\delta(r)\Sigma = \delta(r)(J^2\cos^2\theta)$ and combined with the last term, for which $\delta(\cos\theta)\delta(r)\Sigma/\pi r^2 = \delta(\cos\theta)\delta(r)r^2/\pi r^2 = \delta(\cos\theta)\delta(r)/\pi$ is used, giving

$$f^2_{EW}dV = \left(\frac{\pi J^2\cos^2\theta}{\xi_0^3} - \frac{2m_0\delta(\cos\theta)}{3\pi}\right)\delta(r)\sin\theta drd\theta d\phi + \frac{2m\pi\delta(r)\mu}{\xi_0^3}\Sigma\sin\theta drd\theta d\phi. \tag{A16}$$

Now, since $\int\cos^2\theta\sin\theta d\theta d\phi = 4\pi/3$ and $\int\delta(\cos\theta)\sin\theta d\theta d\phi = 2\pi$, angular integration of the first contribution on the right hand side gives $4[(\pi^2J^2/\xi_0^3) - m_0]\delta(r)dr/3$, which vanishes for $\pi^2J^2/\xi_0^3 = m_0$ (i.e., for $m_0 = (\pi/2)^{2/3}/\xi_0 = 10^{19}GeV/1.51918$ since $J = s_z/m_0$ where $s_z = 1/2$). It then follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\int f^2_{EW}dV &= \int\frac{2m\epsilon\pi^2 S(t)\delta(t-r)\delta(r)\mu}{\xi_0^2}dV = \int\frac{2m\pi\delta(r)r}{\xi_0^3\Sigma}\Sigma\sin\theta drd\theta d\phi \\ &= \frac{2\pi m_0}{\xi_0}\int_0^L r\delta(r)dr\int_0^\pi\sin\theta d\theta = 2\epsilon m_0\ln(1+\frac{L^2}{\epsilon^2\xi_0^2}),\end{aligned} \tag{A17}$$

since $\int_0^L r\delta_\epsilon(r)dr = \frac{\epsilon\xi_0}{2\pi}\ln(1+\frac{L^2}{\epsilon^2\xi_0^2})$. Thereby,

$$M = \frac{3}{2}\int_{in} f^2dV \cong 3\epsilon m_0\ln(\frac{L^2}{\epsilon^2\xi_0^2}), \tag{A18}$$

where $L \gg \epsilon\xi_0 = 4.05084\epsilon\times 10^{-33}cm$. Then, with $m_0 = (\pi/2)^{2/3}/\xi_0$, the hierarchy problem is addressed with a low mass Fermion emerging on a mass scale $\mu = L^{-1}$: $M(\mu) \simeq 3\epsilon m_0\ln(1/\mu^2\epsilon^2\xi_0^2)$. The negative mass of the core is compensated by the positive mass surrounding the core with a slight excess emerging as the effective mass of the Fermion. A generic Fermion mass $M(\mu_x) \simeq 0.100GeV$ at a scale $\mu_x \simeq 3\times 10^{16}$ GeV gives $\epsilon \simeq M(\mu_x)/3m_0\ln(\mu_x^2\epsilon^2\xi_0^2)^{-1} \simeq 10^{-23}/0.342$, and consequently,

$$M(\mu) \simeq 3\epsilon m_0\left\{\ln(\frac{1}{\mu_x^2\epsilon^2\xi_0^2}) + \ln(\frac{\mu_x^2}{\mu^2})\right\} = M(\mu_x)\left\{1 + \frac{1}{114}\ln(\frac{\mu_x^2}{\mu^2})\right\}. \tag{A19}$$

Finally, for external space (see equ.(28)), from (A17)

$$f^2_{EWex} dV = \frac{2\pi^2 \epsilon m S(t)\delta(t-r)\delta(r)\mu}{\xi_0^2} \Sigma \sin\theta dr d\theta d\phi. \tag{A20}$$

In order to extend this model to the case in which there are well-seperated multiple excitation cores of EW-type, let the metric go to a multicenter form: [26]

$$f^2_{EWex} \to \sum_i f^2_{EWexi} = -\frac{\epsilon}{\xi_0^2} \sum_i \frac{2 m_i \mu_i}{\tau_i^2} = \frac{2\pi^2 \epsilon}{\xi_0^2} \sum_i m_i S(t-t_i)\delta(t-t_i-\mathsf{r}_i)\delta(\mathsf{r}_i)\mu_i, \tag{A21}$$

where $\mu_i = \mathsf{r}_i/(\mathsf{r}_i^2 + J_i^2 \cos^2\theta_i)$, $\mathsf{r}_i = |\vec{r} - \vec{r}_i|$, the S-type coordinates of the ithexcitation being $\vec{r}_i = (r_i, \theta_i, \phi_i)$ at time t_i and the sum extends over all cores. Obviously the parameters m_i and J_i pertain to the ith excitation and $\tau_i^2 = (t-t_i)^2 - \mathsf{r}_i^2$ and poles are again being handled in the standard way [25] by letting $1/\left[(t-t_i)^2 - \mathsf{r}_i^2\right] \to -\pi^2 S(t-t_i)\delta(t-t_i-\mathsf{r}_i)\delta(\mathsf{r}_i)$.

[1] A. Einstein, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) 49 (1916) 769.
[2] R. M. Wald, General Relativity (Univ. of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1984) p. 96.
[3] S. Eidelman, et. al., Phys. Lett. B592 (2004) 1.
[4] R. McCorkle, Phys. Scr. 40 (1989) 721, sections 3 and 10. Some corrections to that work are presented here.
[5] L. H. Ryder, Quantum Field Theory, (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1985) p. 42.
[6] P. A. M. Dirac, Proc. Roy. Soc. A 167 (1938) 148.
[7] C. Teitelboim, Phys. Rev. D 1 (1970) 1572; 2 (1970) 1763.
[8] B. P. Kosyakov, Usp. Fiz. Nauk 162 (1992) 161[Sov. Phys. Usp. 35 (1992) 135].
[9] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne and J. A. Wheeler, Gravitation (Freeman, New York, 1973) p. 469.
[10] C. Itzykson and J-B Zuber, Quantum Field Theory (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1980).
[11] B. Schutz, Geometrical Methods of Mathematical Physics, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1980) p. 147.
[12] H. Goldstein, Classical Mechanics, second edition (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1980) p. 573.
[13] Ref. 9, pp. 168, 285.
[14] Ref. 9, p. 454.
[15] S. Weinberg, The Quantum Theory of Fields, Volume 1 (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995), p. 28.
[16] J. R. Letaw, Phys. Rev. D 23 (1981) 1709.
[17] T-P Cheng and L-F Li, Gauge Theory of Elementary Particle Physics (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1984) p. 244.
[18] Ref. 5, p. 131.
[19] Ref. 10, pp. 36, 13.
[20] G. Gabrielse, D. Hanneke, T. Kinoshita, M. Nio, and B. Odom, Phy. Rev. Lett. 97 (2006) 030802.
[21] J. Erler and P. Langacker, in Ref. 3, p. 115.
[22] P. C. Vaidya, Pramana 8 (1977) 512.
[23] A. H. Taub, Ann. Physics 134 (1981) 326.
[24] R. H. Boyer and R. W. Lindquist, J. Math. Phys. 8 (1967) 265.
[25] Ref. 10, p. 367.
[26] S. Chandrasekhar, The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1983)pp. 592-596.